Sectt. II-27—45,004—14-11-30.

L. S. G. (1930) Department.

MS SERIES.

G.O. No. 2866 P. H

DATED 13-11-1930

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical institutions - rural - Harpanahalli Taluk Board (Bellary) Payment of extra remuneration of Rs. 100/- per annum to the rural medical pracitioners from the savings - sanctioned.

Ref. C.R. No. 315/28.

Encl-1

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT TALUK BOARD,
HARPANAHALLI.
4-6-30.
Dated.....193

35321

FROM

M.R.Ry. K. Santhappa Garu,
President, Taluk Board, Harpanahalli.

CR 135-6/30

To

THE Secretary to Government
Local Self Government (Public Health)Department,
MADRAS.

Sir, Through,

The President, District Board,
Bellary.

Sir,

In paragraph (3) of G.O.No.210 P.H. dated the 22-1-1929, a sum of Rs.720/- being the cost of medicines for rural dispensaries sanctioned to be opened during 1929-30 were allowed to be spent from the savings effected on account of the provincialization of the dispensary at Harpanahalli. One of the two dispensaries has been opened at Tambrahalli and the other will be opened at Halwagal (Vide G.O.No.1874 P.H. dated 31-7-30).

2. As the services of qualified persons were not procurable for appointment as medical practitioners of rural dispensaries under this Taluk Board for the remuneration of Rs.500/- per annum fixed for ~~Local~~ Licensed Medical Practitioners in G.O.No. 942 P.H. dated the 6.4.29, the scale of salary has been raised to Rs.700/- per annum. Consequently the salary of the medical practitioner of Tambrahalli dispensary has also been raised to the level of that of the co-practitioners under the board and he is paid at Rs.700/- per annum. In as much as this is a rural dispensary opened and maintained out of the savings of the Harpanahalli dispensary, I submit that it may not be incorrect to debit to that account the net cost Viz the extra remuneration of Rs.200/- per annum paid to the medical practitioner over and above the subsidy of Rs.500/- realised from Government, and Rs.360/- the value of medicine supplied to the dispensary. I request therefore that the extra salary of Rs.200/- paid to the practitioner may also be allowed to be spent from these savings, and that the same

same concession be extended to the other dispensary referred to in para (1) supra, which has still to be opened at Halwagal.

6. The actual savings at the end of March 1930 after deducting the expenditure permitted in para (3) of G.O.No.210 P.H. dated the 22-1-29, is calculated to be Rs. ~~4450~~ 4406/- for two years, (1928-29 and 1929-30). If this sum is set apart for medical relief, the closing balance for general administration would be reduced to Rs.500/- which is less than the working balance fixed in the normal budget, as observed in para 15 of the audit report for 1929-30 (Vide copy enclosed). With reference to Government Memorandum No.13222-1 D iii' P.H. dated 11-4-1930 I have explained in my letter No.C.R.315/28 dated the 3-5-30 the circumstances under which the above savings were utilised for general purposes and prayed for the orders of Government allowing the same. In ~~time~~ fine, I have the honour to submit that under the present bad financial condition of the board it is impossible to set apart any portion of this sum for the purposes of medical relief, and request therefore that the utilisation of the whole amount for the purposes specified in my letter dated 3-5-30 quoted above may be condoned as a special case.--

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Rasaveedu 4.8.30

For President.

H.N.S.

4.8.30.

Endt N Dis CR. 1356/30 dt. — Bellary District Board 19.9.1930

Forwarded.

President District Board
for 1930 Bellary

5

Extract of para 15 of the Audit report on the General accounts ~~for 1929-30.~~ of Harapanahalli Taluk Board for 1929-30.

Savings due to the Provincialization of the Dispensary not accounted for: The savings on account of the provincialization of the dispensary at Harpanahalli were required to be earmarked for the opening and maintenance of Regular or Allopathic rural Dispensaries in paragraph 1(b) of G.O.No.896, P.H., dated the 17th April 1928. The savings at the close of March 1930 effected by the Provincialization amounted to Rs.4,450/- as detailed below:-

1928-29.	Rs.	2,130-0-0
1929-30.	"	2,320-0-0
	Rs.	4,450-0-0

And in paragraph 3 of G.O.No. 210 P.H., dated the 22nd January 1929 a sum of Rs.720/- (being the cost of medicines for two rural dispensaries sanctioned to be opened during 1929-30) was allowed to be spent from the savings. But, actually, a sum of Rs.44/- only was spent on the purchase of ~~xx~~ medicine for one of the rural dispensaries opened at Tambrahalli. Thus the actual savings at the close of March 1930 should be Rs.4,406/-. This sum should have been earmarked and kept separate. This was not done. Had the amount been set apart, the balance left for general administration would have been only about Rs.500/-. This sum was less than the working balance fixed in the normal budget, by about Rs.70/-.

/True extract/

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 21 SEP 1930 LOCAL SELF GOVT. DEPARTMENT

4.8.30.

H.N.Basavaraj 4.8.30

For President.

H.N.S./

L. S. G (P.H) Dept

C No 35321. From The P.T.B. Harpanahalli Ref C.R No 315/28 dy 4.8.30.

Subject.

Medical Relief - Harpanahalli Tk Bd - Additional Remuneration to the Rural Medical Practitioners -

Memo: 35321-1/32 P.H. dy 8.10.30

The P.T.B. Harpanahalli requests Sanction of Govt for paying an additional remuneration of Rs 200 per annum from the board's funds to the rural medical practitioners at Iguvapahalli and Halvagal ~~in the Taluk board area~~ on the ground that ~~as he represents that~~ the services of qualified medical practitioners are not available for the usual subsidy of Rs 500 a year. The S.G. is requested to state whether there is any necessity for the ~~qualified medical practitioners~~ payment of the enhanced ~~are not~~ subsidy proposed ~~available in the Taluk area and whether~~ The P.T.B's statement is correct

8/10/30

The S. G. ✓
(Q Hom)

6/10 6/10

8/10

C.F. 30—10,000—7-8-26.

Local Self Government (P H.) **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 28/29/10 192 .

40575

Subject

Medical Relief - Harpanahalli Taluk Board - Additional remuneration to the Rural Medical Practitioner -

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

R. No. 1818-Hospitals of 30.

Station Madras. *Dated the* 27th October 1930.

From (Name) Major-General C.A.Sprawson, C.I.E., V.H.S., I.M.S.

(Designation) Surgeon-General with the Govt. of Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government (Public Health) Department.

Sir,

p.4 ante

Reference:- Government Memorandum No. 35321-1-D-2 P.H., dated 8th October 1930 - Medical Relief - Harpanahalli Taluk Board - Additional remuneration to the Rural Medical Practitioners at Thambrahalli and Halwagal.

The District Medical Officer, Bellary, states that the other three Taluk Boards in the District have sanctioned from their own funds an enhanced subsidy of Rs. 100/- per annum to the rural medical practitioners under the Taluk Board, With a view to give a uniform rate of subsidy to the rural medical practitioners in the District, the District Medical Officer states that the Harpanahalli Taluk Board also pays an additional remuneration of Rs. 100/- per annum. I agree with the

District

District Medical Officer and consider it will be sufficient if an enhanced subsidy of Rs. 100/- per annum is paid by the Harpanahalli Taluk Board from ~~its~~ its own funds to the rural medical practitioners of Tambrahalli and Holwagal.

I Have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. A. Spencer

Major-General I.M.S.,

Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

PVS/25-10.

L. Sg (P.H) Dept

No. 35321. From Rec | P.T.B. Harpanahalli No 315/28 dy 4.8.30
" Endt of the P.D.B. Bellary Endt No 1356/30 dy 19.8.30

Subject.

Medical relief - Harpanahalli TkBd - Enhancement of the subsidy to R.M.Bs from Savings.

(received)

Pp 11 + 12 of (P)

In G.O No 2210 P. dy 22.1.29 the Govt sanctioned the opening of two rural dispensaries by the Harpanahalli TkBd under the scheme outlined in

(J) G.O No 1522 P. dy 22.10.24. The Board was also as a special case, allowed in view of its poor financial condition

para 3 on p.129 (P) to utilise the savings consequent on the Provincialisation of the D.B. hospital at Harpanahalli to meet the cost of medicines required for these two dispensaries.

2. The P.I now requests the sanction of Govt for (from the savings referred to above) paying the extra remuneration of Rs 200 to the rural medical practitioners in these dispensaries as services of qualified doctors are not available for a remuneration of Rs 500 p.a. - The Government do not usually allow the boards to give enhanced

pp 1 + 2 of

The truth of this statement, which is curious for a notoriously poor dt., may be checked through the S.G.

Subsidies to the R. M. Bs from the (K)
Savings (Cf GO No. 215 P.H. dy 22-1-29). Moreover vide Lp 4 ites of GO No. 215 P H dy 22/1/29 removed
the general rule agreed to, by Finance and this Dept. is that savings should not be utilised on dispensaries which are already being subsidised by Govt. In the circumstances it is for consideration whether it is necessary to show any concession to the Harpanahalli TR Bd; (as a special case or whether it is) request may be summarily rejected. Forms orders whether the Rt may be enforced accordingly.

3. As regards its request to condone the action of the Board in utilising the balance of savings on purposes other than medical relief, orders have already issued - Vide para 2 of Govt No 1825 P. dy 25-7-30. The Pt's attention may be invited to this. p 2 of p 19 of (S) removed

LR
29/9
Bd
7/10/30

Thro' Mr K.S.M.
11/8m
29/9

See marginal note.

3/10.

A memo to the S. G. is submitted for approval.

7/10

LR
6/10
Bd
6/10

Memo No 35321-102 P. Edn 8-10-30

C.No 40575 From Hon Sec S.G. - R.No 1818 dtd 8.30

& 27.x.30

—

Please see the memo on p4 Cf. the S.G. states that there Taluk Bd in the ~~South Canara~~ Bellary Dt are giving an additional remuneration of Rs 100 to their medical practioners. In order to keep a uniform scale of subsidy in the Dt. it is presumed that the Harpanahalli Tk bd also desires to give an increase of subsidy (viz Rs 200) to its medical Practitioners. But the S.G. recommends that it will be sufficient if only a an additional remuneration of Rs 100 is given. Submitted that this Depn may agree to the S.G's proposal. As the proposal however is to give the extra remuneration from the savings consequent on the provincialisation of L.F dispensary at Harpanahalli, the remarks of the Fin. Depn may be invited Thanks.

p5 Cf

Taluk Bd p5 Cf.

pp.5-b Cf.

Sd

31/10

Fin.

GOVT. SECRETARY TO GOVT. 1-NOV-1930 FINANCE DEPT.

Edn 35331

1-11-30

Hon'ble M. Offg No 40575-102-Edn-H.

31.x.30

Sd

31/10

Sd

30.10.30

G.O. No. 1522 P.H. d/ 22-10-1924. (J)
Rs.500 p.a. for an L.M.P.
Rs.600 p.a. for an L.M. & S. or M.B.B.S.
Rs.300 p.a. for a mid-wife
(G.O. 942 P. 4864.29 (I))

Under the scheme sanctioned in 1924 for medical relief in rural areas, subsidies are given by Government for meeting the cost of medical practitioners and midwives, but the cost of medicines (Rs. 360 per annum for each dispensary) is met by Taluk Boards.

2. In para 1(b) of G.O. 896 P.H., dated 17-4-1928, it was ordered that the savings accruing to local boards as a result of the provincialisation of their medical institutions should be utilised on the opening of one or more additional regular or rural allopathic dispensaries. In such cases, subsidies for meeting the cost of medical practitioners and midwives are not given by Government.

para 2 on p.12 (P)

(J)

Harpanahalle Taluk Board.

3. In G.O. 210 P.H., dated 22-10-1929 the Govt. sanctioned the opening of 2 rural dispensaries under the scheme outlined in G.O. 1522 P.H., dated 22-10-1924; that is, they undertook to give subsidies in respect of 2 rural dispensaries.

para 3 on p.12 (P)

In view of the Taluk Board's poor financial condition, the Govt. also permitted it to meet its share of the cost of the 2 dispensaries (cost of medicine at Rs. 360 for each dispensary) from the savings accruing to it on account of the provincialisation of the L.F. hospital at Harpanahalle.

para 2 on p.1 CF

the rate for an L.M.P.

4. The President of the Taluk Board has now reported that it is not found possible to secure the services of qualified medical practitioners on the present remuneration of Rs. 500 per annum sanctioned by Government. He accordingly proposes to increase the remuneration to Rs. 700 per annum, meeting the extra expenditure of Rs. 200 (over and above the Govt. subsidy of Rs. 500) from the savings due to the provincialisation of the L.F. hospital at Harpanahalle.

(in the case of one of the two dispensaries the increase has already been sanctioned by the Bd — see Bom p.1 CF)

5 The Surgeon General has reported that three other Taluk Boards in the same district (Bellary) are paying an extra remuneration of Rs. 100 per annum to each medical practitioner, meeting the extra cost from their own funds. He recommends that the Harpanahalle Taluk Board may also be permitted to pay an extra remuneration at the same rate of Rs. 100 per annum.

p. 5 c/f

(Mr TKRdy Com da Hrin_ see G.O. 2047 P.H. 6.1025 ©)

L.S.G. have accepted the proposal. Submitted that this Department may agree to an additional remuneration of Rs. 100 per annum being paid by the Harpanahalle Taluk Board to each of the two medical practitioners and to the expenditure being met from the savings referred to.

p. 3 above.

7.11.30

8/11/30

7.11.30

Fi no. 35331 EXM — 10-11-30

The Finance Dept have agreed to the proposal. A draft order is submitted for approval.

10/11

42573

14/11

11.11.30

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 10 NOV 1930 GOVT. S.W.

Thro' Mr K.S.M.

Sectt. II-5 (a)—50,006—5-11-29.

18/11/11

2. 89/P.H) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..	18/11/11	✓
When examined ..		
When signed ..		19/11/11
When despatched ..		

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated .....19 .

" " " " ..... " .....19 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 3532/. From the P. T. B. Harpanahalli, CR No. 815/29, dated 4.8. 1930.

" Endl of the P. Dis. Bellary N Dis No 1356/30 Dy 19.9.30

" " 40575 " " S. Dy. RN 1818/30 " 27.X. 1930.

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " .....19 .

ORDER.

No. 2866 P.H., dated 13-11- 1930.

1/11 Dy

1/11 Ir-2 cf.

The P. T. B. Harpanahalli requests the sanction of Govt for the payment of an additional remuneration of Rs 200 per annum from the savings resulting from the provincialisation of the L. F. hospital at Harpanahalli to the rural medical practitioners at Tambrahalli and Kalwagal on the ground that the services of qualified medical practitioners are not available for the usual subsidy of Rs 500 a year.

2. The S.Q. reports that three other Rural bds in the Bellary

1/5 cf

district are paying from their own funds an extra remuneration of Rs 100 per annum to each rural medical practitioner and recommends ~~therefore~~ an extra remuneration of ~~only~~ Rs 100 per annum to the rural medical practitioners under the Harpanahalli Hd bd. The Govt agree with the S. G and Sanction the proposal of the Hd bd to pay from the Savings ~~above~~ referred to in para 1 above an additional remuneration of Rs 100 (Rupees one hundred) each to each of the R. M. Ps at Tambrahalli and Halwagal.

lk
11/*/11

TBJ
11.10.30

Post 4/1
V3,4

8K
13/11/30
T4

TO

The P. T. B. Harpanahalli
Thro' The P. O. B Bellary
The S. G. ✓
Copy to the Exr.
. . . . AG ✓